91-97% ee

# B‑(3,3-Difluoroallyl)diisopinocampheylborane for the Enantioselective Fluoroallylboration of Aldehydes

P. Veeraraghavan Ramachandran,\* Agnieszka Tafelska-Kaczmarek,<sup>‡</sup> and Anamitra Chatterjee

Herbert C. Brown Center for Borane Re[sea](#page-3-0)rch, Department of Chemistry, Purdue [U](#page-3-0)niversity, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907-2084, United States

## **S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-3-0) The fluoroallylboration of aldehydes with B-(3,3-difluoroallyl) diisopinocampheylborane, which was prepared via the hydroboration of 1,1 difluoroallene, provides chiral 2,2-gem-difluorinated homoallylic alcohols in good yields and 91−97% ee.

 $\Gamma$  luorine substitution often alters the reactivity and reaction<br>mechanism of organic molecules.<sup>1</sup> Medicinal chemists<br>undertake this exercise to alter the hislogical properties of such undertake this exercise to alter the biological properties of such molecules, with the aim of identifyi[n](#page-3-0)g more potent and bioavailable molecules.<sup>2</sup> Geminal difluorinated aliphatic fluoroorganic molecules are particularly attractive due to their unique pharmacological prop[ert](#page-3-0)ies.<sup>3</sup> As part of our program involving fluoro-organic synthesis via boranes, $4$  we had reported the preparation and reactions [o](#page-3-0)f racemic and chiral 2-benzyloxy- (3,3-difluoroallyl)boronates 1 and 2, r[es](#page-3-0)pectively (Figure 1), for 4





Figure 1. (3,3-Difluoroallyl)boronates.

We had also reported a low yield  $(\leq 20\%)$  synthesis of the parent camphanediol  $(3,3$ -difluoroallyl)boronate  $4^{5b}$  from 2,2difluorovinyllithium<sup>6</sup> and the corresponding camphanediol iodomethylboronate.<sup>7</sup> The importance of the p[rod](#page-3-0)ucts 2,2 difluoro-1-aryl/alky[lb](#page-3-0)ut-3-en-1-ols as useful building blocks for the synthesis of bio[ac](#page-3-0)tive molecules, $<sup>8</sup>$  led us to re-examine the</sup> synthesis of racemic and chiral (3,3-difluoroallyl)boronate reagents 3 and 4. While racemic [2,2](#page-3-0)-difluoro-1-aryl/alkylbut-3-en-1-ols have been reported via the addition of gemdifluoroallylmetals  $(Si,^{9} In,^{10} In,^{11} Sn,^{12} In^{13})$  to aldehydes and ketones, to the best of our knowledge, chiral 2,2-gemdifluorohomoallyl alco[h](#page-4-0)ols [ar](#page-4-0)e a[cce](#page-4-0)sse[d v](#page-4-0)ia [a l](#page-4-0)ipase-mediated enzymatic resolution of racemic alcohols.<sup>14</sup> Reported herein are the improved synthesis of asymmetric (3,3-difluoroallyl) boronate, the failed asymmetric allyl[bor](#page-4-0)ation with 4, and finally, the successful preparation and reactions of difluoroallylborane-derived from  $\alpha$ -pinene.

Diisopropyl (3,3-difluoroallyl)boronate (3) prepared via the homologation<sup>15</sup> of 2,2-difluorovinyllithium<sup>6</sup> with diisopropyl

iodomethylboronate<sup>16</sup> (Scheme 1) was found to be unstable for storage. Hence, the difluoroallylboration of benzaldehyde (5a)

 $v^{1/2}B$ 

 $F \frac{1) \text{RCHO}}{2) [0]}$  R<sup>2</sup>





was examined with in situ generated reagents, and the optimal conditions were identified (Table 1).

The allylboration of benzaldehyde (5a) with 3 in THF at room temperature, monitored [by](#page-1-0) <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy (chemical shift change from  $\delta$  30 to 18 ppm), furnished 2,2difluoro-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (6a) in only 15% yield. On the basis of Lewis acid catalyzed allylboration with allylboronates, $17$ the reaction was examined in the presence of 10 mol %  $Sc(OTf)_{3}$ , which improved t[he](#page-4-0) yield to 43%. Raising the temperature to reflux further improved the yield to 67%. Increasing the catalyst loading to 20 mol % and the reaction time to 48 h did not improve the yield. Changing the catalyst to In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (10 mol %) yielded 38% of the homoallylic alcohol. The influence of the solvent was then examined. Unlike the benzyloxy reagent 1, the parent reagent 3 was only sparingly soluble in pentane. The reaction failed in refluxing dichloromethane (48 h); refluxing in toluene decomposed the reagent.

With the standardized reaction conditions, the fluoroallylboration of additional aldehydes was carried out (Table 2).

Received: May 22, 2012 Published: September 13, 2012

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Difluoroallylboration of Benzaldehyde (5a) with 3; Optimization of Conditions<sup>a</sup>

	н $\ddot{}$ 5a	'PrO 'PrO 3			OН 6a
entry	$L.A.^b$	solvent	temp $(^{\circ}C)$	time $(h)$	yield <sup>c</sup> $(\%)$
1		<b>THF</b>	rt	24	15
$\overline{2}$	Sc(OTf)	<b>THF</b>	rt	24	43
3	$Sc(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub>	<b>THF</b>	reflux	24	67
$\overline{4}$	In(OTf)	<b>THF</b>	reflux	24	38
5	$Sc(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub>	CH, Cl,	reflux	48	d
6	$Sc(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub>	toluene	reflux	24	e
7	$Sc(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub>	pentane			

 $a$ Reactions were carried out with 1.5 equiv of crude reagent.  $b$ 10 mol % of the Lewis acid was used, unless otherwise stated. "Isolated yields" of pure products. <sup>d</sup>No reaction observed. <sup>e</sup>Decomposition of reagent 3. f No reaction due to the poor solubility of the reagent.

Table 2. Difluoroallylboration of Aldehydes 5 with  $3<sup>a</sup>$ 

entry	RCHO(5)	R	6	time $(h)$	yield <sup>b</sup> $(\%)$			
	5a	$C_6H_5$	6a	24	67			
2	5b	$p$ -MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	6b	48	33			
3	5c	2-Naphthyl	6с	38	25			
4	5d	$C_6H_5(CH_2)$	6d	48	62			
<sup>"</sup> Reactions were carried out in THF at reflux with 1.5 equiv of crude reagent and 10 mol % of $Sc(OTf)_{3}$ . <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields of pure products.								

Surprisingly, low yields, 33% and 25%, respectively, were obtained for the allylboration of  $p$ -anisaldehyde  $(5b)$  and 2naphthaldehyde (5c). Hydrocinnamaldehyde (5d) afforded the product in 62% isolated yield. The factors influencing the low yield are not clear at this point, although similar homoallylic alcohols have been found to undergo the elimination of fluoride under basic conditions.<sup>18</sup> It is noteworthy that diisopropyl  $(3,3$ difluoroallyl)boronate (3) is less reactive than the 2-benzyloxysubstituted reagent 1. [Th](#page-4-0)is could be due to increased electron density of the vinylic ether, a fact which should favor the allyl transfer.

We were able to significantly improve upon our previous results,<sup>5b</sup> enhancing the yield of  $(1R,3S)$ -1,2,2-trimethylcyclopent-1,3-diyl (4R)-2-(3,3-difluoroprop-2-enyl)-4-phenyl-1,3,2 dioxab[oro](#page-3-0)lane (4) from  $\leq$ 20% to 80%, simply by decreasing the reaction temperature. Unlike the achiral reagent, 4 is stable to silica gel chromatography and the yield represents isolated yield of the pure reagent and further reactions were carried out using pure reagent. The fluoroallylboration of aldehydes with 4 was also facilitated by the presence of a Lewis acid, higher reaction temperature, and longer reaction times (Scheme 2). Allylborations were carried out with 1.25 equiv of reagent 4 in the presence of 10 mol % of  $Sc(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub> at reflux in THF and

Scheme 2. Asymmetric Difluoroallylboration of Aldehydes 5 with 4



were monitored by the <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy (chemical shift change from  $\delta$  33 to 22 ppm).

As in the case of the racemic fluoroallylboration (Table 1), no reaction took place in dichloromethane and decomposition was observed in toluene. Allylboration of benzaldehyde (5a) afforded the chiral homoallyl alcohol  $(R)$ -6a in 38% yield and surprisingly low 20% ee, as was determined by  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ NMR analysis of the corresponding  $\alpha$ -methoxy  $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$ trifluoromethylphenyl acetates (Mosher esters).<sup>19</sup> The configuration of the alcohol was assigned on the basis of the sign of the optical rotation of the alcohol, as re[por](#page-4-0)ted in the literature.<sup>14</sup>

The use of  $In(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub> (20 mol %) decreased the ee to 12%, but with [s](#page-4-0)imilarly poor yield (37%). Low enantioselectivity  $(20%)$  was also observed for p-anisaldehyde  $(5b)$ , where the reaction required 4.5 days  $(^{11}B$  NMR).

The disappointing results from chiral (3,3-difluoroallyl) boronates and the established success of pinane-mediated allyl-, crotyl-, and alkoxyallylborations<sup>20</sup> prompted the preparation of B-(3,3-difluoroallyl)diisopinocampheylborane and fluoroallylboration of aldehydes. Hydro[bo](#page-4-0)ration of substituted allenes have been utilized for the preparation of novel achiral and chiral allylboranes.<sup>21</sup> Accordingly, we examined the hydroboration of 1,1-difluoroallene with racemic and chiral diisopinocampheylborane  $(Ipc, BH)$  (Scheme 3).

The successful, reagent-dependent, and regioselective hydroboration of a variety of fluo[ro](#page-2-0)olefins have been described by us earlier.<sup>22</sup> Hydroboration of fluoroalkynes have also been reported in the literature.<sup>23</sup> However, there has been no report on th[e](#page-4-0) hydroboration of fluoroallenes. Accordingly, freshly prepared 1,1-difluoroalle[ne](#page-4-0), $24$  was added to a suspension of (−)-Ipc2BH in diethyl ether at −78 °C and was warmed to 0 °C over 3 h, whereafte[r](#page-4-0) the reaction medium became homogeneous. The  $^{11}$ B NMR spectrum revealed two peaks at  $\delta$  79 and 49 ppm in a 7:3 ratio, presumably corresponding to the difluoroallylborane 7 and (2,2-difluoro-1-methylvinyl) borane (on the basis of <sup>11</sup>B NMR of vinylboranes) (Scheme 3).

Benzaldehyde (5a) was added to the above mixture at −78  $^{\circ}$ C, and the reaction, which was monitored by  $^{11}$ B N[MR](#page-2-0) spectroscopy, was complete within 4 h. The NMR spectrum revealed a broad peak at  $\delta$  50 ppm, along with a shoulder peak, presumably due to the unreacted vinylborane. Alkaline oxidative workup with hydrogen peroxide over 12 h at rt, provided the expected 2,2-difluoro-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (6a) in 43% yield, based on the allylborane present in the medium. Since allylboration has been shown to be a fast reaction even at low temperatures,<sup>25</sup> the yield of 6a is most likely limited by the formation of the allylborane from hydroboration. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of [th](#page-4-0)e Mosher ester derivative of 6a revealed an enantiomeric excess of 94%, and a configurational assignment of R.<sup>14</sup> It is observed that the stereochemistry of the product of the reaction with difluoroallylborane 7 is the same as that of the nonfl[uo](#page-4-0)rinated analogue.<sup>25</sup>

Solvents such as THF, pentane, and  $CH_2Cl_2$  decreased the yield of the reaction. A[lth](#page-4-0)ough there was no change in the enantioselectivity, lowering the allylboration temperature to −100 °C improved the yield to 72%. The use of catalytic  $Sc(OTf)_{3}$  did not improve the yield or enantioselectivity. Accordingly, further difluoroallylboration of a series of aldehydes with 7 was carried out at this temperature (Table 3). *p*-Anisaldehyde  $(5b)$  provided  $(R)$ -6b in 71% yield and 93% ee. Similarly, high ee (94%) was obtained for 2-naphthaldehyde [\(](#page-2-0)5c) as well. While  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde, cinnamaldehyde

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Scheme 3. Preparation and Reaction of B-(3,3-Difluoroallyl)diisopinocampheylborane (7)



Table 3. Asymmetric Difluoroallylboration of Aldehydes 5 with  $7^a$  in Et<sub>2</sub>O



a<br>Reactions were carried out with 1 equiv of crude reagent over 4 h. between the carrier of their required rotation with those of between the optical rotation with those of known compounds.<sup>14</sup> <sup>c</sup> Yields of pure products based on the number of equivalents of reagent in the mixture.  $d$ Determined by  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{19}F$ NMR analysis of [Mos](#page-4-0)her esters.

(5e) afforded the product in 91% ee, hydrocinnamaldehyde (5d) afforded the highest ee, 97%, for the homoallylic alcohol in 76% yield. A heteroaromatic aldehyde, 2-furaldehyde (5f), provided the product in 69% yield and 92% ee. It is gratifying to note that pinane-derived reagent 7 provides very high ee for the allylboration, even with the presence of the fluorine atoms. In comparison, the camphor-derived allylboronate reagent 4 provides poor ee.

In conclusion, higher yields were achieved for the synthesis of racemic and chiral (3,3-difluoroallyl)boronates, although the allylboration results in poor yields and ee of the homoallyl alcohols. The hydroboration of 1,1-difluoroallene with diisopinocampheylborane provides a 7:3 mixture of the corresponding (3,3-difluoroallyl)- and (2,2-difluoro-1-methylvinyl) borane. Difluoroallylboration of representative aldehydes with B-(3,3-difluoroallyl)diisopinocampheylborane provides 2,2-gem-difluorinated homoallyl alcohols in good yields and high ee.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Information. Unless otherwise noted, all manipulations were carried out under inert atmosphere in flame-dried glassware. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled before use from sodium benzophenone ketyl. All other chemicals and solvents were purchased commercially and used without further purification, unless otherwise noted.

The  ${}^{1}H$ ,  ${}^{19}F$ ,  ${}^{13}C$ , and  ${}^{11}B$  nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were plotted on 300 MHz spectrometer with Nalorac-quad probes using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as a solvent at room temperature. The NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm. Abbreviations for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR:  $s = singlet$ ,  $d = doublet$ ,  $m = multiplet$ ,  $b = broad$ ,  $t = triplet$ ,  $q =$ quartet. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained by electro spray impact ionization in combination with a single quadrupole mass analyzer. The reactions were monitored by TLC using silica gel  $F_{254}$ precoated plates. Flash chromatography was performed using flash grade silica gel (particle size: 40−63  $\mu$ m, 230 × 400 mesh). Optical rotations were measured on an automatic polarimeter at the Na <sup>D</sup> line  $(\lambda = 589$  nm) using a 1 dm cell.

Preparation of diisopropyl iodomethylboronate,<sup>16</sup> (1R,2R,3R,4S)-4iodomethyl-1,10,10-trimethyl-2-phenyl-3,5-dioxa-4-boratricyclo-  $[5.2.1.0^{0,0}]$ decane,<sup>7</sup> 1,1-difluoropropa-1,2-diene,<sup>2[4](#page-4-0)</sup> (-)-diisopinocampheylborane<sup>26</sup> were achieved as reported.

1. General Pr[oc](#page-3-0)edure for the One-Pot Syn[the](#page-4-0)sis of Diisopropyl (3,3-Difluor[oa](#page-4-0)llyl)Boronate (3) and Procedure for the Difluoroallylboration of Aldehydes for the Preparation of 6a−d. To a solution of 1,1-difluoroethene (1.14 mL, 10.64 mmol) in THF (10 mL) and diethyl ether (2.5 mL) at −110 °C was added dropwise s-BuLi (3.80 mL, 1.4 M in cyclohexane, 5.32 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 min and then diisopropyl iodomethylboronate (1.58 g, 5.85 mmol) was slowly added at −100 °C. After 40 min at this temperature, the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and filtered through a short bed of Celite. The solvents were removed under vacuum and the crude difluoroallylboronate 3 was then dissolved in THF (3.5 mL), aldehyde (3.52 mmol), and  $Sc(OTf)$ <sub>3</sub> (0.17 g, 0.35 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h (<sup>11</sup>B NMR shift from  $\delta$  29.5 to 18 ppm). The reaction was quenched with satd aq  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  solution (5 mL), and the product was extracted with diethyl ether, washed with brine, dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1) to yield homoallyl alcohols **6a−d**. The spectral data were consistent with those reported in the literature.<sup>8c,12,14,27</sup>

2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of (1R,3S)-1,2,2- Trimethylcyclo[pent-1,3-d](#page-4-0)iyl (4R)-2-(3,3-difluoroprop-2-enyl)-4-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (4). To a solution of 1,1-difluoroethene (0.85 mL, 7.96 mmol) in THF (7.5 mL) and diethyl ether (1.9 mL) at −110 °C was added dropwise s-BuLi (2.85 mL, 1.4 M in cyclohexane, 3.98 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 min, and then (1R,2R,3R,4S)-4-iodomethyl-1,10,10-trimethyl-2 phenyl-3,5-dioxa-4-boratricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{0,0}]$ decane<sup>7</sup> (1.74 g, 4.38 mmol) was slowly added at −100 °C. After 40 min at this temperature, the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and filtered t[hr](#page-3-0)ough a short bed of Celite. The solvents were removed and the residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1) to yield difluoroallylboronate 4 as a light yellow oil  $(1.06 \text{ g}, 80\%)$ .  $R_f$  0.55 (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1). <sup>I</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.44– 7.29 (m, 5H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.19 (dtd, J = 25.5, 7.8, and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.89–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.20−1.15 (m, 2H), 1.10−1.00 (m, 1H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –91.73 (d, J = 50.5 Hz, 1F), −94.62 (dd, J = 50.5 and 25.4 Hz, 1F). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  155.9 (t, J = 282.5), 141.4, 127.4, 127.2, 126.5, 95.9, 88.7, 73.3 (t,  $J = 23.3$  Hz), 51.9, 50.1, 48.7, 29.4, 24.6, 23.4, 20.5, 9.2. <sup>11</sup>B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  33.2. MS EI:  $m/z = 332$  [M<sup>+</sup>]. HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{19}H_{23}BF_2O_2$  332.1759, found 332.1765.

General Procedure for the Difluoroallylboration of Aldehydes Using Reagent 4. To a solution of chiral (3,3-difluoroallyl) boronate 4 (1.25 mmol) in THF (1 mL) were added aldehyde 5 (1.00 mmol) and  $Sc(OTf)_{3}$  (0.10 mmol), and the reaction mixture was refluxed for the desired time (shown in Table 3)  $(^{11}B$  NMR shift from  $\delta$  33.2 to 22.4 ppm). The reaction was quenched with satd aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (1.5 mL), and the product was extracted with diethyl ether, washed with brine, dried (anhyd.  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ ), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography to yield homoallyl alcohol 6.

3. General Procedure for the One Pot Synthesis of B-(3,3- Difluoroallyl)Diisopinocampheylborane (7) and Representative Procedure for the Difluoroallylboration of Aldehydes for the Preparation of (R)-2,2-Difluoro-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ol [(R)-6a]. To

<span id="page-3-0"></span>a suspension of  $(-)$ -Ipc<sub>2</sub>BH<sup>26</sup> (1.25 g, 4.38 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) at  $-78$  °C was added 1,1-difluoropropa-1,2-diene<sup>24</sup> (0.50 g, 6.57 mmol). After the mixture was stir[red](#page-4-0) for 3 h at 0  $^{\circ}$ C, the solid dissolved completely, indicating the completion of hydr[ob](#page-4-0)oration ( $^{11}$ B NMR  $\delta$ 79 and 49 ppm, ratio 7:3). The reaction mixture was cooled to −100  $^{\circ}$ C, and benzaldehyde (5a) (0.46 g, 4.38 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 4 h ( $^{11}$ B NMR:  $\delta$  79 ppm to 50 ppm), oxidized with 3 M NaOH (3.2 mL) and 30%  $H_2O_2$ (3.2 mL), and stirred at rt for 12 h. The product was extracted with diethyl ether, washed with brine, dried (anhyd.  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ ), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1) to yield homoallyl alcohol  $(R)$ -6a as a colorless viscous liquid (0.36 g, 45%, calculated to 72% on the basis of 7:3 mixture of the reagents).  $R_f = 0.21$  (hexane/ ethyl acetate = 8:1).  $[\alpha]^{23}$ <sub>D</sub> = -16.96 (c 1.25, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 94% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of Mosher ester (lit.<sup>14</sup> [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>23</sup> D = −14.7 ( $\iota$  1.13, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 79% ee). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 7.42−7.35 (m, 5H), 5.86 (ddd, J = 23.1, 17.4, and 11.1 Hz, [1H](#page-4-0)), 5.59  $(d, J = 17.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 5.46  $(d, J = 11.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 4.88  $(t, J = 9.6 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 2.81 (bs, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –109.32 (dt, J = 246.4 and 10.4 Hz, 1F),  $-110.99$  (dt, J = 246.4 and 10.4 Hz, 1F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 135.9, 129.2 (t, J = 25.5 Hz), 128.6, 128.1, 127.5, 121.5 (t,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 119.5 (t,  $J = 243.1$  Hz), 75.7 (td,  $J = 29.6$ and 5.8 Hz). MS EI:  $m/z = 184$  [M<sup>+</sup>]. HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{10}H_{10}F_2O$  184.0700, found 184.0711.

 $(R)-2,2-Difluoro-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-1-ol$   $[(R)-6b].$ A colorless viscous liquid, 0.50 g, 44% (calculated to 71% on the basis of 7:3 mixture of the reagents).  $R_f$  0.09 (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1).  $[\alpha]^{23}$ <sub>D</sub> = –19.75 (c 1.55, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 93% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup> 8:1).  $[\alpha]^{23}{}_{D} = -19.75$  (c 1.55, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 93% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of Mosher ester (lit.<sup>14</sup>  $[\alpha]^{23}{}_{D} = -20.2$  (c 1.01, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 94% ee). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.33 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.91−6.86 (m, 2H), 5.86 (ddd, J = [23.](#page-4-0)4, 17.4, and 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (dtd,  $J = 17.4$ , 2.4, and 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (d,  $J = 11.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.84 (td,  $J = 9.6$  and 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.63 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –109.59 (dt, J = 245.9 and 10.4 Hz, 1F), -111.17 (dt, J = 245.9 and 10.4 Hz, 1F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.7, 129.4 (t, J = 25.5 Hz), 128.8, 128.1, 121.3, 119.5 (t, J  $= 242.8$  Hz), 113.4, 75.4 (td, J = 29.6 and 7.3 Hz), 55.1. MS EI:  $m/z =$ 214 [M<sup>+</sup>]. HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{11}H_{12}F_2O_2$  214.0805, found 214.0811.

(R)-2,2-Difluoro-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)but-3-en-1-ol [(R)-6c]. A light yellow oil, 0.53 g, 48% (calculated to 70% on the basis of 7:3 mixture of the reagents).  $R_f$  0.33 (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:2).  $[\alpha]^{23}$ <sub>D</sub> = −15.56 ( $c$  1.08, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 94% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of Mosher ester. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.89–7.83 (m, 4H), 7.55−7.49 (m, 3H), 5.88 (ddd, J = 23.4, 17.4, and 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (dt,  $J = 17.1$  and 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (d,  $J = 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.09 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (bs, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  −109.03 (dt, J = 246.7 and 10.4 Hz, 1F), −110.46 (dt, J = 246.7 and 10.4 Hz, 1F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  133.3, 132.8, 129.2 (t, J = 25.5 Hz), 128.1, 127.8, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 126.2, 124.9, 121.6, 119.6 (t, J = 243.4 Hz), 75.9 (td, J = 29.7 and 6.7 Hz). MS EI:  $m/z =$ 234  $[M^+]$ . HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{14}H_{12}F_2O$  234.0856, found 234.0859.

(R)-4,4-Difluoro-1-phenylhex-5-en-3-ol [(R)-6d]. A colorless liquid, 0.64 g, yield 53% (calculated to 76% on the basis of 7:3 mixture of the reagents).  $R_f$  0.20 (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1).  $[\alpha]^{23}$ <sub>D</sub> = +33.82 (c 0.76, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 97% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of Mosher ester. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.33–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.23−7.18 (m, 3H), 6.05−5.88 (m, 1H), 5.71 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.82−3.73 (m, 1H), 2.93 (ddd, J = 14.1, 9.3, and 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76–2.66 (m, 1H), 1.99 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.96– 1.87 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.71 (m, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ −110.26 (dt, J = 248.7 and 10.1 Hz, 1F), −113.71 (dt, J = 248.7 and 10.4 Hz, 1F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  141.0, 129.5 (t, J = 25.8) Hz), 128.4, 126.0, 121.3 (t,  $J = 8.8$  Hz), 120.2 (t,  $J = 241.5$  Hz), 72.5  $(t, J = 29.0 \text{ Hz})$ , 31.6, 31.4. MS EI:  $m/z = 212 \text{ [M}^+]$ . HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{12}H_{14}F_2O$  212.1013, found 212.1015.

(R,E)-4,4-Difluoro-1-phenylhexa-1,5-dien-3-ol [(R)-6e]. A colorless oil, 0.39 g, yield 48% (calculated to 70% on the basis of 7:3 mixture of the reagents).  $R_f$  0.15 (hexane/ethyl acetate = 9:1).  $\lceil \alpha \rceil^{23}$   $\vert n$  = +7.22 ( $c$  1.15, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 91% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of Mosher ester. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43–7.28  $(m, 5H)$ , 6.78 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dd, J = 15.6 and 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (ddd, J = 23.1, 17.4, and 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (dtd, J = 17.4 Hz, 2.1) and 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.57–4.46 (m, 1H), 2.23 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –109.99 (dt, J = 247.8 and 10.1 Hz, 1F),  $-112.10$  (dt,  $J = 247.8$  and 10.1 Hz, 1F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 135.8, 134.5, 129.5 (t, J = 25.4 Hz), 128.5, 128.2, 126.6, 123.0, 121.6 (t,  $J = 9.0$  Hz), 119.3 (t,  $J = 242.5$  Hz), 74.4 (td,  $J = 29.8$  and 4.8 Hz). MS EI:  $m/z = 210$  [M<sup>+</sup>]. HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{12}H_{12}F_2O$  210.0856, found 210.0859.

(R)-2,2-Difluoro-1-(furan-2-yl)but-3-en-1-ol [(R)-6f]. A light yellow oil, 0.25 g, yield 42% (calculated to 69% on the basis of 7:3 mixture of the reagents).  $R_f$  0.80 (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1).  $[\alpha]^2$  $^{23}$ <sub>D</sub> =  $-1.88$  (c 1.17, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 92% ee, determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of Mosher ester. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.50 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.51−6.50 (m, 1H), 6.47−6.45 (m, 1H), 6.13−5.96 (m, 1H), 5.78 (dt, J = 17.7 and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (td,  $J = 9.3$  and 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  -108.90 (t, J = 10.8 Hz, 2F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  149.4, 143.0, 129.5 (t, J = 25.2 Hz), 121.8 (t, J = 8.5 Hz), 118.7 (t,  $J = 240.0$  Hz), 110.6, 109.6, 70.4 (t,  $J = 31.6$  Hz). MS EI:  $m/z = 174$  [M<sup>+</sup>]. HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_8H_8F_2O_2$  174.0492, found 174.0488.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **3** Supporting Information

Copies of the NMR spectra of the products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: chandran@purdue.edu.

## Present Address

‡ Depart[ment of Chemistry, Ni](mailto:chandran@purdue.edu)colaus Copernicus University, Gagarina 7, 87−100 Toruń, Poland.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Herbert C. Brown Center for Borane Research for financial assistance of this project.

## ■ REFERENCES

(1) Ojima, I., Ed. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology; Wiley-Blackwell: West Sussex, UK, 2009.

(2) (a) Soloshonok, V. A., Ed. Enantiocontrolled synthesis of fluoroorganic compounds: stereochemical challenges and biomedical targets; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 1999. (b) O'Hagan, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2010, 131, 1071.

(3) (a) Tozer, M. J.; Herpin, T. F. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8619. (b) Xue, F.; Li, H.; Delker, S. L.; Fang, J.; Martasek, P.; Roman, L. J.; ́

Poulos, T. L.; Silverman, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14229. (4) Ramachandran, P. V.; Parthasarathy, G.; Gagare, P. D. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4474.

(5) (a) Ramachandran, P. V.; Tafelska-Kaczmarek, A.; Sakavuyi, K.; Chatterjee, A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1302. (b) Ramachandran, P. V.; Tafelska-Kaczmarek, A.; Sakavuyi, K. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4044. (c) Ramachandran, P. V.; Chatterjee, A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 144. (d) Ramachandran, P. V.; Chatterjee, A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1195. (6) Sauvetre, R.; Normant, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 957.

(7) (a) Kennedy, J. W. J.; Hall, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4412.

(b) Lira, R.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4315.

(8) (a) Ramachandran, P. V., Ed. Asymmetric Fluoroorganic Chemistry; ACS Symposium Series 746; American Chemical Society: Washington,

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

DC, 2000. (b) Qing, F.-L.; Qiu, X. L. In Current Fluoroorganic Chemistry: New Synthetic Direction, Technologies, Materials, and Biological Application; Soloshonok, V. A., Mikami, K., Yamazaki, T., Welch, J. T., Honek, J. F., Eds.; ACS Symposium Series 949; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2007; pp 305−322. (c) Audouard, C.; Fawcett, J.; Griffiths, G. A.; Percy, J. M.; Pintat, S.; Smith, C. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 528. (d) Bogen, S.; Arasappan, A.; Pan, W.; Ruan, S.; Padilla, A.; Saksena, A. K.; Girijavallabhan, V.; Njoroge, F. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 4219.

(9) (a) Fujita, M.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4085. (b) Fujita, M.; Obayashi, M.; Hiyama, T. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4135.

(10) Kirihara, M.; Takuwa, T.; Takizawa, S.; Momose, T.; Nemoto, H. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8275. (11) (a) Seyferth, D.; Simon, R. M.; Sepelak, D. J.; Klein, H. A. J. Org.

Chem. 1980, 45, 2273. (b) Seyferth, D.; Simon, R. M.; Sepelak, D. J.; Klein, H. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4634.

(12) Seyferth, D.; Wursthorn, D. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 455.

(13) Yang, Z.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1037.

(14) Kirihara, M.; Kawasaki, M.; Katsumata, H.; Kakuda, H.; Shiro, M.; Kawabata, S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 2283.

(15) Wuts, P. G. M.; Thompson, P. A.; Callen, G. R. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 5398.

(16) Soundararajan, R.; Li, G.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 100.

(17) (a) Kennedy, J. W. J.; Hall, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11586. (b) Ishiyama, T.; Ahiko, T.-a.; Miyaura, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

2002, 124, 12414. (c) Lachance, H.; Lu, X.; Gravel, M.; Hall, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10160.

(18) Yanai, H.; Okada, H.; Sato, A.; Okada, M.; Taguchi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 2997.

(19) Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543.

(20) Ramachandran, P. V. Aldrichimica Acta 2002, 35, 23.

(21) (a) Brown, H. C.; Jadhav, P. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1215.

(b) Brown, H. C.; Narla, G. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 4686. (c) Narla, G.; Brown, H. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 219. (d) Flamme, E. M.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13644.

(22) (a) Ramachandran, P. V.; Madhi, S.; O'Donnell, M. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2006, 127, 1252. (b) Ramachandran, P. V.; Jennings, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 128, 827.

(23) Konno, T.; Chae, J.; Tanaka, T.; Ishihara, T.; Yamanaka, H. Chem. Commun. 2004, 690.

(24) (a) Henne, A. L.; Nager, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 1042. (b) Drakesmith, F. G.; Stewart, O. J.; Tarrant, P. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 33, 280.

(25) Racherla, U. S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 401.

(26) Brown, H. C.; Zaidlewicz, M. Organic Syntheses via Boranes: Recent Developments; Aldrich Chemical Co.: Milwaukee, 2001; Vol. 2, p 92.

(27) Qing, F.-L.; Wan, D.-P. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 14189.